

# Preview Form

Printed on Apr 13, 2016

## Anesthesiology Critical Care Medicine - Program Evaluation by Fellows

[Insufficient contact to evaluate](#) (delete evaluation)

As part of the ACGME Common Program Requirements, all faculty and fellows must have the opportunity to evaluate the program confidentially and in writing at least annually.

Individual responses are confidential. Anonymous aggregate summaries will be used during the annual program review meeting.

### Training

Very Well	Well	Fairly Well	Poorly
4	3	2	1

1. Overall, the training I received met my goals\*

--	--	--	--

2. The clinical training met my goals\*

--	--	--	--

3. The research training met my goals\*

--	--	--	--

4. Overall, the work load during the year was\*

--	--	--	--

5. The best run, most efficient hospital from a training standpoint was \*

6. The worst run, least efficient hospital from a training standpoint was \*

7. I have the following suggestions for improving the overall clinical teaching of the program \*

8. I have the following suggestions for improving the number of trainees who stay in academic medicine: \*

9. In your opinion, how well has the Division done in counseling and advising you of requirements, opportunities, and problems with future career avenues\*

Four empty rectangular input boxes for rating.

Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory	N/A
5	4	3	2	1	0

10. If asked by a resident looking for a Fellowship, I would rank the UC Denver program as:\*


Five empty rectangular input boxes for rating, corresponding to the legend above.

11. For research training, I would rank it as:\*

Five empty rectangular input boxes for rating, corresponding to the legend above.

12. Please comment on any particular unsatisfactory or nonproductive aspects of the training you received:

Multiple horizontal dashed lines for text input.

\* Required fields  Option description (place mouse over field to view)

Submit completed evaluation